

MINUTES OF PRE-BID MEETING

NUMBER: No. 01.20/VIII/Pan-PMB/EINRIP/2010

HELD ON AUGUST 20, 2010

FOR CIVIL WORKS PACKAGE : ESS-04, BULUKUMBA - TONDONG

UNDER EINRIP-AIPRD LOAN NO: L-002

IFB Reference Number: No. 01.10/VIII/Pan-PMB/EINRIP/2010

Description of Works : The carriageway of 20.74 km is being widened to 6 m with 2 by 1.5 m, rehabilitation (5 bridges) and replace with new box culvert (1 bridge).

Work Unit : SNVT Pembangunan Jalan dan Jembatan Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan
Activity : Pembangunan Jalan dan Jembatan IV Jeneponto - Bantaeng - Bulukumba - Sinjai
Location : Kabupaten Bulukumba
Budget Year : 2010

1. SITE VISIT

13:00- 13.30 : Traveling to Meeting Point at KM 154 Kelurahan Caile Kecamatan Ujung Bulu

13:30- 15.00 : Showing of important locations that bidders need attention

1.1. Place and Time of the Site Visit:

Place : KM 154 Kelurahan Caile Kecamatan Ujung Bulu Kabupaten Bulukumba
Time : 13.00-15.00 WITA (Local Time)
Date : 18 August 2010

1.2. Attendance:

A. From Employer:

Procurement Committee

1. Akhmad, ST.MT/ Secretary
2. Malik, ST.MM / Member

B. DGH:

1. Ir.abd. Syahid, MA / Head of PPK Pembangunan Jalan dan Jembatan IV Jeneponto – Bantaeng - Bulukumba - Sinjai
2. Andi Amin, ST.MT / Representative of SNVT Pembangunan Jalan dan Jembatan Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan

C. Other Participants:

1. Yuzerwan Sukiyo / PMSC EINRIP
2. June R. Mendoza / PAS EINRIP

3. Hari Purwanto / PAS EINRIP

D. Observer

1. Zacky Wasaraka / AusAID EMU

E. Bidders

11 bidders

2. PRE BID MEETING

2.1. Place and Time of the Pre-Bid Meeting :

Place : Jl. Mesjid Raya No. 72 Makassar Meeting Room of SNVT Pembangunan Jalan dan Jembatan Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan Indonesia 2nd floor
Time : 10.00-12.00 WITA (Local Time)
Date : 20 August 2010

2.2. Attendance:

A. From Employer:

Procurement Committee

1. Akhmad, ST.MT/ Secretary
2. Gazali, ST.MT / Member
3. Ferryal, ST.MSi / Member
4. Malik, ST.MM / Member

Note:

The Chairman of PC (Ir. H. Nur Zaitun, M.Si), was not able to make it at the Pre-Bid Meeting due to urgent matters he has to attend to however the Secretary replaced at the opening remarks.

B. From DGH:

1. Ir. H. Shafwan H.R M.Eng / Head of SNVT Pembangunan Jalan dan Jembatan Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan.
2. Ir.abd. Syahid, MA / Head of PPK Pembangunan Jalan dan Jembatan IV Jenepono – Bantaeng - Bulukumba - Sinjai

C. Other Participants:

1. Kgs Syaiful Anwar / PMU EINRIP

2. Yuzerwan Sukiyo / PMSC EINRIP
3. June R. Mendoza / PAS EINRIP
4. Hari Purwanto / PAS EINRIP

D. Observer

1. Zacky Wasaraka / AusAID EMU

E. From Prospective Bidders:

17 Prospective Bidders

3. Record of the Pre-Bid Meeting

Opening on 10.00 WITA

- Opening remarks was conducted by Akhmad, ST.MT, Representative of SNVT Pembangunan Jalan dan Jembatan Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan, to examine the Technical Requirement that bidders must consider in their bids for Package ESS-04;
- Followed by remarks from Ir. H. Shafwan H.R M.Eng / Head of SNVT Pembangunan Jalan dan Jembatan Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan stating that the purpose of the pre-bid meeting is to inform the bidder the complete requirement of the work to avoid any miss-interpretation.
- Mr. Zacky, EMU AusAID encouraged the bidders to take the advantage of the pre-bid meeting and emphasis must be on quality work;
- Remarks and Explanation from PPK IV regarding : Location (Sta), widened from 4.5 metre to 7 metre plus 2 by 1.5 metre hard shoulders, rehabilitation (5 bridges), replace with new box culvert (1 bridge) and equipment. PPK further described briefly about the work
 - Such the beginning of project, station Sta 0+0 is shown in the drawing at about 120 m ahead of KM 154.000
 - There are two cross sections with 6 m and 7 width of pavement
 - There are road surface that needs to be raised as well as to be lowered
 - Detour road may be required during the construction
 - Supervision will be conducted by the project
- Mr. Saiful/PMU emphasized that the list of equipment in the bid document is the minimum requirement for the work. During the construction, the Engineer may require more of the appropriate equipment with no charge. He added that Bid Security shall be examined carefully;
- Explanation from Ir. Yuzerwan Sukiyo/PMSC regarding the design that the required quality is quite high which the lifetime are 40 years and 15 years for subgrade and overlay respectively. Due to the high quality requirement the position of Quality Control Manager is essential. He shall be independently controlling the work of GS and develop the Quality Control Plan accordingly.
He also explained that
 - the traffic is crowded that traffic management is also essential
 - any unsolved dispute at site will be presented to a dispute board. The cost of the board will be 50% with the project and included in the BoQ. The contractor is not entitled to present any claim if no dispute board is required.

- Presentation by PAS Consultant in Power Point (the hand out is distributed to the attending companies)
- PAS provided information on the applicable procurement guidelines of EINRIP;
- Described how the bid document should be read out by bidders;
- Explanation on Section III Evaluation and Qualification Criteria and the use of its Forms under Section IV.
- PAS encourage the bidders to examine carefully the bid document such as
 1. Part1- Bidding Procedures (Sections 1 to 5)
 2. Part2- (Works Requirements- Scope of Works, General and Special Specifications and Drawings) and
 3. Part3-Conditions of Contract and Contract Forms
 4. Go back to the site if necessary and examine together with the documents provided.
- PAS reiterated that all bidders MUST examine the Bid Document, (Part 1, Part 2 and Part 3 and revisit the project site if necessary for the purpose of the bid and the implementation.
- The requirement of Bid Security and the required form attached to EINRIP bid document;
- The importance of Clarification period prior to bid submission and opening where all bidders may request clarification during their review of bid document and the site and provide correct information to any clarification made by Procurement Committee for purposes of evaluation.
- A prospective Bidder requiring any clarification of the Bidding Document shall contact the Committee in writing at the Committee's address stated in the bid document to be submitted no later than 21 days prior to the deadline of bid submission. Deadline will be on August 31, 2010 not August 25, 2010 as stated in the IFBs published
- The Committee will respond in writing no more than 14 days prior to the deadline of bid submission to any request for clarification, provided that such request is received no later than twenty-one (21) calendar days prior to the deadline for submission of bids (ITB 7.1). Deadline will be on 7 September 2010.
- PC informed that the Drawing is now available for downloading at www.pmueinrip-binamarga@yahoo.com
- PAS also included in the power point important issues that normally arise during implementation

4. Information During Site Visit

- Location starting point of the Project.
- Location of excavation and Embankment
- Location of rehabilitation (5 bridges), replace with new box culvert (1 bridge)
- Location of finish point of the Project
- **Travel Back to Makassar at 15.00 – 18.30:**

**Prepared by [Procurement Committee]
EINRIP/ESS-04**

Date: Friday, 20 August 2010.

BASED ON THE RESULT OF THE PRE-BID MEETING THE PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE ANNOUNCES THE DEADLINE FOR BIDDER'S REQUESTS FOR CLARIFICATIONS AND PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE RESPONSE:

- The deadline for Bidder's Request for Clarification will be on August 31, 2010 instead of August 25, 2010 as earlier stated in the Invitation for Bids published on August 10, 2010 in Koran Tempo and Local Newspaper.
- While the Procurement Committee will respond in writing no more than 14 days prior to the deadline of bid submission to any request for clarification, provided that such request is received no later than twenty-one (21) calendar days prior to the deadline for submission of bids (BDS ITB 7.1). The deadline will be on 7 September 2010.

**EINRIP PROCUREMENT - PRE-BID MEETING / ESS-04
LIST OF QUERY/RESPONSE ON BIDDING**

NO	SECTION	RELEVANT CLAUSE/CRITERIA	QUERY	RESPONSE
1	Part I Section II	BDS ITB 22.1 and ITB 25.1	Can the date of bid submission be extended due to Ramadhan Holidays? Please extend the Bid Submission date from 21-9- 2010 to 28-9-2010 due to the long non-working days of Iedul Fitri	The period from publication of Invitation for Bids to the date of bid submission and opening already calculated the holidays in Ramadhan. However, in the event that there will be an announcement of extensions of bid submission and opening it will be posted in the PU NET and PMU EINRIP websites through an Addendum prior to bid submission and opening.
2	Part 1 Section 1	Section 1 ITB 81, 8.2 and 8.3	When we can take the Berita Acara / Addendum?	Reference is made to ITB 7.6: When any modification to the Bidding Documents that may become necessary as a result of the pre-bid meeting shall be made by the Employer exclusively through the issue of an Addendum pursuant to ITB 8 and not through the minutes of the pre-bid meeting.” Any Addendum will be posted at the PU NET and PMU EINRIP websites prior to bid submission and opening.
3	Part 1 Section III	2.4.2 Specific Experience (a)	If a single entity presents the experience in a Joint Operation (JO) activity in the previous contract, how is the experience (as of the amount of the work) will be evaluated?	a) For the Specific Experience of Single Entity in a JO contract. The contract must be within the last five (5) years prior to the bid submission deadline and will be evaluated based on the Bidder's specified participation of total contract amount in a JO, the percentage and amount of share as shown in Form Exp-2(a) Specific Construction Experience (Revision 1). This shall be supported by a

NO	SECTION	RELEVANT CLAUSE/CRITERIA	QUERY	RESPONSE
				<p>copy of the original Contract and the latest Addendum of Contract. Employer may require as evidence relevant to Affidavit Agreement/ Monthly Certificates/Hand Over Document/ Interim Payment Certificates (IPC).</p> <p>Refer to ITB 19.7 (b) and the BDS 19.7(b), the bid security may be forfeited if the successful Bidder fails to accept arithmetic corrections in accordance with ITB 31.</p>
4	Part I Section III	2.4.2 Specific Experience (b)	Does the productivity be evaluated as the average productivity, the total contract volume divided by the construction time	<p>b) The production rate requirement is already stipulated in Section III Sub factor 2.4.2 Specific Experience (b)</p> <p>The highest production rate by the Bidder shall be supported by an evidence of relevant Monthly Certificate (MC) or Interim Payment Certificate (IPC or hand over document.</p>
5	Part 1 Section 1	ITB 31.2 and BDS ITB 31.2	Is the arithmetical correction used as the basis of rejection?	<p>The arithmetic correction is not a basis of rejection, however if the bidder that submitted the lowest evaluated bid does not accept the correction of errors, its bid shall be rejected and the Bid Security, if any shall be forfeited.</p> <p>Refer to ITB 19.7 (b) and the BDS 19.7(b), the bid security may be forfeited if the successful Bidder fails to accept arithmetic corrections in accordance with ITB 31.</p>
6			What is the recent status of the land acquisition?	Land acquisition is on going and it is expected that all land required for the project will be available before contract is awarded.
7			Pay item of relocation of existing phone utility pole/electric utility pole low voltage. - Does it include the cost	See General Specification Division 1, Clause 1.19, and the Clause 1.19.6, Measurement and Payment

NO	SECTION	RELEVANT CLAUSE/CRITERIA	QUERY	RESPONSE
			<p>of approval process to the correspond office (PLN & Telkom)?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - How far is the relocation? - Does the contractor responsible for the relocation? 	
8	Part II		Does the committee define the location of the excavated material?	No, it doesn't. It will be defined during construction period based on the contract. Location of excavated materials, refer to General Specification article 3.1.1.11
9	Part I Section II	BDS ITB 1.1	Does the Bid Security addressed to PPK/Pejabat Pembuat Komitmen Pembangunan Jalan dan Jembatan IV or to Procurement Committee for Eastern Indonesian National Road Improvement Project (EINRIP) ESS-04?	The Bid Security shall be addressed to the Employer reference is made to BDS ITB 1.1: To: Pejabat Pembuat Komitmen Pembangunan Jalan dan Jembatan IV
10	Part I Section II	BDS ITB 25.3	To whom is the Bid Security to address and is it (the original) inserted in the bid proposal envelope or shall it be separated?	The address is already answered above. The original bid security shall be included unbound in the envelope and not presented separately
11	Part I	ITB 19.3	Is the Bid Security that is not in the format defined in	Yes it will be a basis of rejection unless prior written approval is

NO	SECTION	RELEVANT CLAUSE/CRITERIA	QUERY	RESPONSE
	Section I		the Bid document will be a basis of rejection?	requested to the Procurement Committee prior to bid submission and opening.
12			Please provide the specification and the dimension of PVC Coated Mesh Gabion 3	See Part 2 of Bidding Document, Section VI.2A – Special Specification, Division 7 Dimensions are in the drawings
13	Section III	2.4.2 Specific Experience (b)	For the requirement in the form 2A and 2B, it is needed to meet the specific previous experience of aggregate base and asphaltic hotmix. The question is how to calculate them? Is it true by dividing the total volume of the item with the period of the contract? For example: total volume = 10,000 m ³ ; period = 10 months; specific experience = 10,000/10 = 100 m ³ /month	<p>For the purpose of the bid the requirement is already stipulated in Exp 2.4.2 (b) as the minimum experience in the key activities defined as the highest production rate by the Bidder (not associate) at one time.</p> <p>The highest production rate by the Bidder shall be supported by an evidence of relevant Monthly Certificate (MC) or Interim Payment Certificate (IPC)/ Hand Over Document</p>
14	Section III	2.4.2 Specific Experience (b)	In the Bidding Document, the minimum capacity for the aggregate base is 3,900 m ³ /month and 3,600 tonne/month for the hot asphaltic mix. The question is it to much? Since we calculate them it is only around 2,600 m ³ /month for	No. The figures are correct.

NO	SECTION	RELEVANT CLAUSE/CRITERIA	QUERY	RESPONSE
			aggregate, and 1,800 tonne/month for hot asphaltic mix by dividing the total volume with the period of 24 months.(aggregate = 62,599/24; hotmix = 42,460/24)	
15			Will there any price adjustment? When?	Price Adjustment is discussed in Section VIII – Particular Conditions (PC), Part B – Specific Provisions, sub Clause 13.8
16			The routine maintenance work in the specification. What are they for? In what procedure and how we could claim them?	Please refer to General Specification Division 10
17			Does the committee define the location of the excavated material?	No. Please refer to Specification 1.17 and 3.1.1.11
18			Where is the location of the quarry (stone, sand etc.) to be used for the project?	The location is to be determined by the contractor himself.



Republic of Indonesia
Ministry of Public Works
Directorate General of Highway



Australia Indonesia Partnership
Kemitraan Australia Indonesia



**THE EASTERN INDONESIA NATIONAL
ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
(EINRIP)**

AIP LOAN NO: AIPRD – L002

PACKAGE NO : ESS-04
PACKAGE NAME : BULUKUMBA-TONDONG
PROVINCE : South Sulawesi

CLARIFICATION OF BIDDING DOCUMENT

DATED: 25 August 2010

CLARIFICATION OF BIDDING

Date : **August 25, 2010**

PACKAGE NAME : **Bulukumba-Tondong**

IFB : **01.10/VIII/Pan-PMB/EINRIP/2010**

NO. OF PAGES : **Three (3) page only**

Consistent with Clause 7.1 of Section I: Instructions to Bidders of the Bidding Document this Clarification of Bidding is being issued in response to bidders queries as follows:

Questions	Answer
<p>1. Regarding Qualification Section in the experiences criteria in the Sub-Factor, 2.4.2 (a) Specific Experience, the requirement stated that <i>“the similarity shall be based on the complexity or methods/technology”</i>. Based on that statement in the requirement, we need to have clear understanding whether similarity simply means exactly similar Works or can be of other work with similar complexity or methods or technology. For example, if a contractor has experiences in building a 30-story concrete building, which is more complex than building bridges and fly over Work that also uses concrete with contract value exceeding the requirement of US\$ 6,900,000, can those be regarded as similar complexity with the proposed Works that use pavement or asphalt?</p> <p>Please kindly elaborate further the meaning of similarity based on the complexity or methods/technology because each Major of Work is different, such as highway construction that uses pavement, fly over and bridge construction that uses concrete, runway construction that uses pavement and concrete, tunnel road construction that uses concrete and pavement. Which of those aforementioned work that can be regarded as similar with the proposed Work? Is it from the Major Work or from the Kind of Work that can be regarded as similar?</p>	<p>In line with Section III 2.4.2 Specific Experience (a), Building Construction is not similar to the proposed work under this package. Building Construction can be included as General Construction Experience, provided that it meets the requirement set out in Section III 2.4.1 General Experience. Specific Experience means only specific construction that is similar to the proposed work that is Road Project.</p> <p>These projects can be considered similar if the <u>major work</u> is similar to the proposed work under this package that includes asphalt pavement works and aggregate base works provided that the amount meets the minimum amount required as stated in Section III 2.4.2 Specific Experience (a). Information on the aspect of complexity and methods/technology are set out in Form Exp 2(a).</p>

<p>2. Regarding Qualification Section in the experiences criteria in the Sub-Factor, 2.4.2 Specific Experience (b), if a contractor possesses the experience and key activity from other contract stated in item (a) and the value is lower than US\$ 6,900.000,-, can it also be regarded as qualified?</p>	<p>Yes, provided that it meets the minimum requirement for key activities defined in Section III 2.4.2.(b)</p>
<p>3. Regarding the changes in work volume, which can be significantly 10% more or 10% less, if there is a change in it then can contractor be able to propose new price? How much time needed to get written response because the work is bind with a tight schedule.</p>	<p>Please refer to Section VII. General Conditions (GC) sub-clause 12.3. Evaluation</p>
<p>4. Implementation schedule of the field started after signature of the contract or upon receiving advance payment.</p>	<p>Please refer to Section VII. General Conditions (GC) sub-clause 8.1. Commencement of Works</p>
<p>5. Penalty calculated from the value of work remaining work or 100% of contract value.</p>	<p>The delay damages is defined in Section VIII – Particular Conditions (PC), PART A –Contract Data, sub Clause 8.7</p>

This Clarification of Bidding is hereby made an integral part of the bidding documents.

PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE